RFA No. 207, 208, 209, 211, 212, 214, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 225 and 226 of 2005.

30.7.2007 Present: Mr. Romesh Verma, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. P.M. Negi, Deputy Advocate General, for respondents 1 and 2.

Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

CMP No. 576 of 2007 in RFA No. 207 of 2005,
CMP No.577 of 2007 in RFA No. 208 of 2005,
CMP No. 578 of 2007 in RFA No. 209 of 2005,
CMP No. 579 of 2007 in RFA No. 211 of 2005,
CMP No. 580 of 2007 in RFA No. 212 of 2005,
CMP No. 581 of 2007 in RFA No. 214 of 2005,
CMP No. 583 of 2007 in RFA No. 217 of 2005,
CMP No. 584 of 2007 in RFA No. 218 of 2005,
CMP No. 585 of 2007 in RFA No. 219 of 2005,
CMP No. 586 of 2007 in RFA No. 220 of 2005,
CMP No. 587 of 2007 in RFA No. 221 of 2005,
CMP No. 588 of 2007 in RFA No. 222 of 2005,
CMP No. 589 of 2007 in RFA No. 223 of 2005,
CMP No. 590 of 2007 in RFA No.225 of 2005 and
CMP No. 591 of 2007 in RFA No. 226 of 2005,

For the reasons stated and because of no objection from the learned Counsel for the respondents, all these applications are allowed. RFA Nos. 207, 208, 209, 211, 212, 214, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223,225 and 226 of 2005 are dismissed as withdrawn. If because of this order the appellants are entitled for the refund of the Court fee, the appropriate authority shall take steps in that direction on the application of the appellants and pass consequential orders.

July 30, 2007. **(V.K. Gupta), C.J.**
(rc)